Perfect Test of Entanglement for Two-level Systems

Sixia Yu[1], Jian-Wei Pan[1,2], Zeng-Bing Chen[1], and Yong-De Zhang[1]
[1] *Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230027, P.R. China*
[2] *Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Wien, Boltzmanngasse 5, 1090 Wien, Austria*
(Dated: February 1, 2008)

A 3-setting Bell-type inequality enforced by the indeterminacy relation of complementary local observables is proposed as an experimental test of the 2-qubit entanglement. The proposed inequality has an advantage of being a sufficient and necessary criterion of the separability. Therefore any entangled 2-qubit state cannot escape the detection by this kind of tests. It turns out that the orientation of the local testing observables plays a crucial role in our perfect detection of the entanglement.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Ta

arXiv:quant-ph/0301030v1 8 Jan 2003

The entanglement or the quantum correlation has become a key concept in the nowadays quantum mechanics. From a fundamental point of view the entangled states of two spacelike separated quantum systems give rise to the question of the completeness of quantum mechanics starting with Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paper [1] and culminating in Bell's theorem [2]. Form a practical point of view the entanglement has found numerous applications in the quantum information such as quantum computation and quantum teleportation [3, 4]. A practical question arises as to how we can detect the entanglement experimentally.

As is well known, the entangled states of a bipartite system are states that cannot be prepared locally. More precisely, entangled states are *not* classically correlated states, i.e, separable states which are convex combinations of product states. The entanglement, though simply defined, is notoriously difficult to detect from both the mathematical and physical point of view. There are plenty separability criteria for the separability of bipartite systems [5, 6, 7, 8], among which the Peres-Horodecki (PH) partial transpose criterion [5, 6] is an operational-friendly criterion and the Bell inequality distinguishes itself as an experimentally doable test for the entanglement.

Initially, Bell's inequalities and its generalizations aimed at ruling out various kinds of local realistic theories quantitatively, providing a sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of local hidden variable model in the case of two settings [9, 10, 11]. Since any separable state admits a local hidden variable model it obeys the Bell inequality. For all separable states of two qubits the Bell-Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt inequality [12]

$$\langle A_1B_1 + A_1B_2 + A_2B_1 - A_2B_2\rangle_\rho \leq 2 \qquad (1)$$

holds true. Here $A_i = \vec{a}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ and $B_i = \vec{b}_i \cdot \vec{\tau}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are two arbitrary sets of local testing observables with $\vec{\sigma}$ and $\vec{\tau}$ being the Pauli matrices for two qubits respectively; the norms of the real vectors $\vec{a}_i$, $\vec{b}_i$ are less than or equal to 1; $\langle AB\rangle_\rho = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho AB)$ denotes the average of the observable AB in the state ρ as usual.

Since the Bell inequality can be viewed as a property of separable states, it provides a sufficient criterion for the entanglement. One needs only choose properly the testing observables such that the above inequality is violated in order to ensure an entangled states. For multi particles the generalization of the Bell inequalities can be employed to detect the totally separable states [13, 14] and fully entangled states [15, 16, 17], and to classify the multiparticle entanglement [18].

Though in case of pure states the Bell inequality is also necessary for the entanglement [19], there are entangled mixed states that escape the Bell tests. For example there exists Werner state that is entangled while admitting a hidden variable model [20]. Therefore the entanglement of these states cannot be detected by the ordinary Bell tests. Bell's inequalities with multi settings have also been investigated [21] however a better criterion for the entanglement is not achieved.

The main reason why there are entangled states that escape the ordinary Bell tests is that the entanglement is a *quantum correlation* and Bell's inequalities do not require the quantum nature of the local systems. To detect more effectively the quantum correlations the quantum aspects of the local systems have to be taken into account. In the present Letter we shall provide a Bell-type inequality on the quantum correlations between two sets of complementary local observables as a test for the entanglement, which serves as a sufficient and necessary criterion of the separability.

One distinguishing feature of quantum systems is the existence of noncommuting observables together with corresponding uncertainty relationships. To take into account this quantum nature of the local systems we choose our testing observables to be complementary observables, observables that are maximally noncommuting. For a two-level system there are three mutually complementary observables $A_i = \vec{a}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ with three normalized vectors $\vec{a}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ being *orthogonal* to each other. In case of spin half system these observables correspond to the measurements of three orthogonal spin directions. They are complementary [22] because if the system is prepared at an eigenstate of one observable the results of measuring any one of two other observables will turn out to be completely random. In fact these three observables form a complete set of complementary observables of the two-

level system [23, 24] in the sense that there is no other observable that is complementary to all these three observables.

Now we shall introduce the notion of *orientation* for a complete set of complementary observables which plays an essential role in our to be proposed detection of the entanglement. For a set of three mutually complementary observables $\{A_i\}$ we denote $\mu_A = -iA_1A_2A_3$ as its orientation which can assume only two values ± 1. If $\mu_A = 1$ the orientation of the basis formed by three real vectors $\vec{a}_i$ is right-handed, the same orientation as that of three Pauli's matrices $\vec{\sigma}$. As a result we have $A_1A_2 = iA_3$ and so on. Obviously A_i and $-A_i$ have different orientations. If $\{A_i\}$ runs over all set of complementary observables with a certain orientation then $\{-A_i\}$ runs over all set of complementary observables with a different orientation. Similarly, we can introduce three mutually complementary observables $B_i = \vec{b}_i \cdot \vec{\tau}$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and the corresponding orientation μ_B for the second qubit. In the following we shall always denote $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$ as two complete sets of complementary local observables. Now we are ready to present our central result.

Theorem: i) A two qubit state is separable if and only if the following inequality holds for all set of observables $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$ with the same orientation:

$$\sqrt{\langle A_1B_1 + A_2B_2\rangle_\rho^2 + \langle A_3 + B_3\rangle_\rho^2} - \langle A_3B_3\rangle_\rho \leq 1. \quad (2)$$

ii) For a given entangled state the maximal violation of the above inequality is $1-4\lambda_{\min}$ with $\lambda_{\min}$ being the minimal eigenvalue of the partial transpose of the density matrix. The maximal possible violation for all states is 3 which is attainable by the maximal entangled states.

To get some familiar with we observe at first that the inequality above is essentially an indeterminacy relation. In fact if the testing observables $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_i\}$ have *different* orientations, the following three observables $X_1 = A_1B_1 + A_2B_2$, $X_2 = A_3 + B_3$, and $X_3 = 1 + A_3B_3$ satisfy $X_1^2 = X_2^2 = X_3^2$. By applying the Heisenberg-Robertson indeterminacy relation [25] to observables X_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ we obtain $\det\Sigma \geq \det\Gamma$ where Σ is a 3×3 matrix with elements $\Sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\langle X_iX_j + X_jX_i\rangle_\rho - \langle X_i\rangle_\rho\langle X_j\rangle_\rho$ and Γ is a 3×3 matrix with elements $\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{i}{2}\langle[X_i, X_j]\rangle_\rho$ $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$. As a result we have

$$\langle A_1B_1 + A_2B_2\rangle_\rho^2 + \langle A_3 + B_3\rangle_\rho^2 \leq \langle 1 + A_3B_3\rangle_\rho^2. \quad (3)$$

It should be emphasized here that this indeterminacy relationship holds for *all* states, separable or entangled, so long as two sets of complementary observables have *different* orientations. If the testing observables are chosen to have the same orientation the indeterminacy relationship above does not hold generally except for the separable states.

Proof. i) If a state is separable then the partial transpose of its density matrix remains a density matrix. For convenience we consider the local time reversal $\tilde{\rho} = \tau_2\rho^{T_B}\tau_2$ instead, where ρ^{T_B} denotes the partial transpose with respect to the second qubit and τ_2 is the second Pauli matrix for the second qubit. If the state is separable then the time reversal $\tilde{\rho}$ is also a density matrix and the indeterminacy relation (3) holds true for all complementary testing observables with *different* orientations. For expectation values we have $\langle A_iB_i\rangle_{\tilde{\rho}} = \langle A_i\tilde{B}_i\rangle_\rho$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and $\langle B_3\rangle_{\tilde{\rho}} = \langle\tilde{B}_3\rangle_\rho$ where three observables $\tilde{B}_i = \tau_2B_i^T\tau_2 = -B_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ form also a complete set of complementary observables with a different orientation as μ_B, i.e., the same orientation as μ_A. Therefore indeterminacy relation (3) holds true for testing observables with the same orientation if the state is separable. By noticing $\langle 1 + A_3B_3\rangle_\rho \geq 0$ we therefore conclude that the inequality (2) is necessary for the separability from the indeterminacy relationship (3).

If the inequality (2) holds true for all sets of complementary observables with the same orientation then we have to prove that the state is separable. Since we are dealing with two-level systems it is suffice to demonstrate that the partial transpose of the density matrix is positive [6]. That is, we have to prove $\langle\Phi|\rho^{T_B}|\Phi\rangle \geq 0$ or equivalently $\langle\Phi|\tau_2\rho^{T_B}\tau_2|\Phi\rangle \geq 0$ where $|\Phi\rangle$ is an arbitrary pure 2-qubit state.

According to the Schmidt decomposition, any normalized 2-qubit pure state $|\Phi\rangle$ can be decomposed as $s_1|+\rangle|-\rangle - s_2|-\rangle|+\rangle$ after a local unitary transformation $U \otimes V$. Here the Schmidt numbers s_1, s_2 satisfy $s_1^2 + s_2^2 = 1$ and $s_1 \geq s_2$ with $C = 2s_1s_2$ being exactly the concurrence [26] of the pure state and $|\pm\rangle$ are eigenstates of σ_3 (τ_3) corresponding to eigenvalues ± 1 respectively. If we define two sets of complementary observables as $A_i = U^\dagger\sigma_iU$ and $B_i = V^\dagger\tau_iV$, which have the same orientation, then sixteen observables $\{1, A_i, B_j, A_iB_j\}$ form a base for all 4×4 matrix. By expanding the density matrix $|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|$ under this base we obtain

$$1 - 4|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| = \sqrt{1 - C^2}(A_3 - B_3) - C(A_1B_1 + A_2B_2) - A_3B_3. \quad (4)$$

Therefore a normalized state is in a one-to-one correspondence with $\{A_i, B_i, C\}_{i=1,2,3}$ where $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_i\}$ are two set of local complementary observables with the same orientation. We notice that the density matrix of a normalized pure 2-qubit state has seven independent real parameters and a set of three complementary observables, which is determined by an orthonormal base of a three dimensional space, has three real parameters. As a result we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Phi|\tau_2\rho^{T_B}\tau_2|\Phi\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}\big(\rho\tau_2(|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|)^{T_B}\tau_2\big)\\
=\ & \frac{1}{4}\Big(1+\sqrt{1-C^2}\langle A_3+B_3\rangle_\rho + C\langle A_1B_1+A_2B_2\rangle_\rho + \langle A_3B_3\rangle_\rho\Big)\\
\geq\ & \frac{1}{4}\Big(1+\langle A_3B_3\rangle_\rho - \Big|\sqrt{1-C^2}\langle A_3+B_3\rangle_\rho + C\langle A_1B_1+A_2B_2\rangle_\rho\Big|\Big)\\
\geq\ & \frac{1}{4}\Big(1+\langle A_3B_3\rangle_\rho - \sqrt{\langle A_3+B_3\rangle_\rho^2 + \langle A_1B_1+A_2B_2\rangle_\rho^2}\Big) \geq 0. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the first inequality is due to inequality $-|x| \leq x$ and the second inequality is due to Cauchy's inequality and the last inequality stems from inequality (2). Thus we have proved that the inequality is sufficient for the separability.

ii) The smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}$ of the transposed density matrix is given by $\lambda_{\min} = \min_{|\Phi\rangle}\langle\Phi|\rho^{T_B}|\Phi\rangle$ where the minimum is taken over all normalized pure states. Since we have established the one-to-one correspondence between a normalized state and local complementary observables together with concurrence, the minimum can be taken in two steps. At first we minimize the expectation value over the concurrence, which is achieved in inequality (5), and then over all testing local observables, which gives rise to the maximal violation of inequality (2) as $1-4\lambda_{\min}$ for a given state. We notice that $\lambda_{\min} < 0$ if the state is entangled.

Since testing observables $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_i\}$ have different orientations we have $X_1^2 + X_2^2 = 4$ remembering that $X_1 = A_1B_1 + A_2B_2$ and $X_2 = A_3 + B_3$. As a result we obtain $\langle X_1\rangle_\rho^2 + \langle X_2\rangle_\rho^2 \leq 4$, which is also satisfied when the orientations of the local testing observables are different because of inequality (3). Together with $|\langle A_3B_3\rangle_\rho| \leq 1$ we observe that $\lambda_{\min} \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ from inequality (5). Thus we conclude that the maximal violation of the inequality (2) is 3. Obviously this upper bound is attained by the maximally entangled states. For example we choose two sets of complementary observables according to $\vec{a}_i = \vec{b}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ for the singlet state. Q.E.D.

Some comments are in order. Provided that the orientations of the local testing observables are the same, all three observables X_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ concerned in the inequality (2) are commuting with each other, which can therefore be simultaneously measured. If the local testing observables are chosen to have different orientations, e.g., replacing B_3 by $-B_3$, then there is no violation of inequality (2) at all. For a known state the maximum violation $1-4\lambda_{\min}$ of the inequality is always attainable. In fact one has only to choose the testing observable to be those that correspond to the eigenstate corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}$ of the partial transposed density matrix.

As is well known, the PH partial transpose criterion is necessary and sufficient for the entanglement of 2-qubit state. Since all physical processes must be represented by completely positive maps [27] and the partial transpose is a positive map that is not completely positive [6], in fact it is a difference of two completely positive maps [28], it is impossible to implement the partial transpose to a state through a physical process. However in the experiments it is expectation values involving both the state and observables that are concerned. What is impossible for the operation of state can be implemented easily to the observables. For an example, though the operation of local time reversal to a state is impossible one can easily change the orientation of the local testing observables to achieve the effects of local time reversal.

Finally, by choosing properly the local testing observables and noticing $\langle A_3 + B_3\rangle_\rho^2 \geq 0$ we can obtain the following simple test for the entanglement

$$|\langle A_1B_1 + A_2B_2 + A_3B_3\rangle_\rho| \leq 1 \qquad (6)$$

which is necessary for a state to be separable. Again, if the orientation of the testing observables are different then there is no violation at all. The maximal violation of the inequality above is 3 which is attained by the maximally entangled states. We notice that this condition is also sufficient for the Werner states $W = \alpha I + \beta|\psi_-\rangle\langle\psi_-|$ with $|\psi_-\rangle$ being the singlet state. As is well known if $\beta \leq \frac{1}{3}$ the state is separable. For $\beta > \frac{1}{3}$ the inequality (6) is violated by choosing local observables A_i and B_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ to point to identical directions. Another distinguishing property of inequality (6) is that all observables involved are commuting with each other and therefore can be simultaneously measured.

In conclusion we have proved a Bell-type inequality as a sufficient and necessary condition for the entanglement of 2-qubit states. The difference between the inequality proposed here and usual Bell inequality is that we involve the quantum behaviors of the local systems by choosing the testing observables to be complementary. If the quantum nature of the local systems is taken into account the entanglement and quantum nonlocality can be demonstrated to be equivalent [29]. Therefore our test proposed for the entanglement here is also a test for the quantum nonlocality. Though it remains hard to confirm the separability of an unknown state in practice because one has to check the inequality (2) for all sets of local complementary observables, our *Theorem* does ensure in principle a violation of the inequality (2) for any entangled state by choosing properly the local testing observables. In a word, an experimental realization of the Peres-Horodeki criterion of the separability by changing the orientation of the testing observables is provided for

two-level systems. It is of interest to consider a similar experimental realization of the Peres-Horodecki criterion in case of multi-level or multi-particle systems.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the National Fundamental Research Program (under Grant No. 2001CB309300).

[1] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **47**, 777 (1935).
[2] J.S. Bell, Physics **1**, 165 (1964).
[3] C.H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).
[4] D. Boumeester, J.-W. Pan, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter, and A. Zeilinger, Nature (London) **390**, 575 (1997).
[5] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1413 (1996).
[6] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **223**, 1 (1996).
[7] M. Lewenstein and A. Sanpera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2261 (1998).
[8] S.-J. Wu, X.-M. Chen, and Y.-D. Zhang, Phys. Lett. A **275**, 244 (2000).
[9] A. Fine, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 291 (1982).
[10] R.F. Werner and M.M. Wolf, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 032112 (2002)
[11] M. Żukowski and Č. Brukner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 210401 (2002).
[12] J.F. Clauser, M.A. Horne, A. Shimony, and R.A. Holt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 880 (1969).
[13] N.D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1838 (1990).
[14] N. Gisin and H. Bechmann-Pasquinucci, Phys. Lett. A 246, **1** (1998).
[15] D. Collins, N. Gisin, S. Popescu, D. Roberts, and V. Scarani Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 170405 (2002).
[16] G. Svetlichny, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3066 (1987); M. Seevinck and G. Svetlichny, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 060401 (2002).
[17] J. Uffink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 230406 (2002).
[18] S. Yu, Z.-B. Chen, J.-W. Pan, and Y.-D. Zhang, e-print quant-ph/0211063.
[19] N. Gisin, Phys. Lett. A **154**, 201 (1991).
[20] R.F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 4277 (1989).
[21] N. Gisin, Phys. Lett. A **260**, 1 (1999).
[22] K. Kraus, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3070 (1987).
[23] I. Ivanovič, J. Phys. A **14**, 3241 (1981).
[24] W.K. Wootters, Found. Phys. **16**, 391 (1986); W.K. Wootters and B.D. Fields, Ann. Phys. **191**, 363 (1989).
[25] H.P. Robertson, Phys. Rev. **46**, 794 (1934).
[26] W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245 (1998).
[27] K. Kraus, *States, Effects and Operations: Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory* (Wiley, New York, 1991).
[28] S. Yu, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 024302 (2000).
[29] Z.-B. Chen, S. Yu, and Y.-D. Zhang, to be published. In this work, it has also been demonstrated that for the usual Bell experiments with two dichotomic observables per site, locality solely is responsible for the observed violations of Bell's inequalities and can lead to stronger contradictions with quantum mechanics, while a realistic model can always reproduce quantum mechanical predictions. The usual Bell theorem without inequalities can also be proven without the realism assumption.